SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY

REPORT ON AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2006

	rocedures Rej		as amended				
Local Unit of Gov			!	coat Unit Name	•		County
County	City Twp	□Village	Other				Monroe
iscal Year End	30/06	Opinion Date	20/1			ort Submitted to State	
e affirm that:							
e are certified	d public accountants	licensed to p	actice in Mic	chigan.			
	m the following mate etter (report of come	·			ed in the financ	sial statements, incl	uding the notes, or in the
YES NO	Check each applic	able box belo	w. (See inst	tructions for	further detail.)		
. 🗹 🗆	All required compor reporting entity note					in the financial sta	tements and/or disclosed in t
I	There are no accum (P.A. 275 of 1980)						restricted net assets
	The local unit is in o	compliance wit	h the Uniforr	n Chart of A	ccounts issued	by the Department	of Treasury.
	The local unit has a	dopted a budo	jet for all reg	uired funds.			
	A public hearing on	the budget wa	as held in acc	cordance wit	h State statute.		
	The local unit has nother guidance as is					under the Emerge	ncy Municipal Loan Act, or
	The local unit has n	ot been delind	uent in distri	buting tax re	venues that we	re collected for and	other taxing unit.
d o	The local unit only h	nolds deposits	investments	that comply	with statutory r	equirements.	
	The local unit has n Audits of Local Unit						ed in the <i>Bulletin for</i>
D. []		previously cor	nmunicated t	to the Local	Audit and Finan	ce Division (LAFD)	during the course of our aud). If there is such activity that
1. 🗹 🗆	The local unit is free	e of repeated o	comments fro	om previous	years.		
. d 🗩	The audit opinion is	UNQUALIFIE	D.				
3. T 🗆	The local unit has c accepted accounting			GASB 34 as	modified by MC	CGAA Statement #	7 and other generally
1. 🗹 🔲	The board or counc	il approves all	invoices pric	or to paymer	it as required by	y charter or statute	
	To our knowledge.	bank reconcili	ations that w	ere reviewed	l were performe	ed timely.	•
cluded in th escription(s)	is or any other aud of the authority and	lit report, nor /or commissio	do they obta n.	ain a stand-	alone audit, ple		the audited entity and is no name(s), address(es), and
	ned, certify that this						
ve nave enc	losed the following	3:	Enclosed	Not Required	d (enter a brief jus	stification)	 _
inancial Stat	ements						
he letter of C	Comments and Reco	mmendations		Note:	Page 2	8 of and	کد
Other (Describe	2)						
Tayl	ccountant (Firm Name)	yan, CPV	ts P.C.			230-8200) T.~~
G - 230	- 1	_	r. Bldg	D	Flut	State VM I	48 £ 3)
Authorizing CPA	Spinature S Wh.	·	Print	ed Name) i/(iman	5 9Mo.	Gan /	Number 015/6917
V	(/				9	

MONROE COUNTY STATE OF MICHIGAN

TOWNSHIP BOARD MEMBERS

Kevin lott Supervisor

Tammy Bleashka Clerk

Joan Wiederhold Treasurer

Dale Wagenknecht Trustee

> Mark Strahan Trustee

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Financial Section</u> Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-6
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Assets	7
Statement of Activities	8
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet-Governmental Funds	9
Reconciliation of Total Governmental Fund Balances to Net Assets of Governmental Activities	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances-Governmental Funds	11
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	12
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets	13
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	14-20
Required Supplemental Information:	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule-General Fund	21
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Road Fund	22
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Fire Equipment Fund	23
Other Supplemental Information:	
Combining Balance Sheet - Nonmajor Funds	24
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditires, and Changes in Fund Balance - Nonmajor Funds	25
Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities-Agency Funds	26
Other Information	
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing	
Standards	27
Comments and Recommendations	28



Certified Public Accountants

Independent Auditor's Report

August 11, 2006

Summerfield Township Board Township Hall 26 Saline Street Petersburg, MI 49270

Dear Board Members:

We have audited the accompanying combined financial statements of Summerfield Township, Monroe County, Michigan, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006. These combined financial statements are the responsibility of the Township's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amount and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Summerfield Township, Monroe County, Michigan, at June 30, 2006, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Management's Discussion and Analysis is not a required part of the basic financial statements, but is supplementary information the Governmental Accounting Standards Board requires. We applied limited procedures, consisting principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. We did not audit the information and express no opinion thereon.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued a report dated August 11, 2006 on our consideration of Summerfield Township's internal control over

financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the combined financial statements taken as a whole. The supplementary information listed in the table of contents is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements of Summerfield Township, Monroe County, Michigan. The information has been subjected to the procedures applied in the audit of the combined financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Sincerely,

Jaylor Morgan, P.C.

TAYLOR & MORGAN, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

Summerfield Township Management's Discussion and Analysis

This section of the Township's annual financial report presents our discussion and analysis of the Township's financial performance during the fiscal year that ended on June 30, 2006. Please read it in conjunction with the Township's financial statements, which follow this section.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34

The Township implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement NO. 34 reporting requirement during the fiscal year that ended on June 30, 2004. GASB 34 reporting requirement includes this letter, which should provide a comparative analysis between the current-year and prior-year financial information. The following information presents a comparative analysis of key elements of the total governmental funds.

Financial Highlights

- The Township's Total Net Assets are \$2,396,392
- During the year, the Township's expenditures were \$ 946,276 and \$ 809,930 was generated in taxes and other revenues
- Total net assets increased \$86,806 during fiscal year 2006.
- General fund revenues and other sources exceeded expenditures by \$(14,820).

Overview of Financial Statements

This annual report consists of three parts – management's discussion and analysis (this section), the basic financial statements and required supplementary information. The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the Township.

- The first two statements are government-wide financial statements that provide both long-term and short-term information about the Township's overall financial status.
- The remaining statements are fund financial statements that focus on individual parts of the Township government, reporting the Townships operations in more detail than the government-wide statements.
- The governmental fund statements tell how general government services are financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending.

The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the financial statements and provide more detailed data. The statements are followed by a section of required supplementary information that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements.

Government-wide Statements

The government-wide statement report information about the Township as a whole using accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The statement of net assets includes all of the government's assents and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid.

The government-wide statements report the Township's net assets and how they have changed. Net assets – the difference between the Township's assets and liabilities – is one way to measure the Township's financial health or position.

- Over time, increases or decreases in the Township's net assets are an indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating, respectively.
- To assess the overall health of the Township you need to consider additional non-financial factors such as changes in the Township's property tax base.

The government-wide financial statements of the Township are divided into two categories:

• Governmental activities – Most of the Township's basic services are included here, such as community development and general administration. Property taxes and state and federal grants finance most of these activities.

Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the Township's most significant fund – not the Township as a whole. Funds are accounting devices that the Township uses to keep track of specific sources of funding and spending for particular purposes.

- Some funds are required by state law.
- The Township Board establishes other funds to control and manage money for particular purposes such as the Road and Fire Funds to show that it is properly using taxes collected for both funds.

The Township has two kinds of funds:

- Governmental funds Most of the Township's basic services are included in the
 three governmental funds, which focus on (1) how cash and other financial
 assets that can be converted to cash flow in and out and (2) the balances left at
 year-end that are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental fund
 statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps you determine whether
 there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to
 finance the Township's programs. Because this information does not encompass
 the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statement, we provide
 additional information on the statements or subsequent page that explains the
 relationship (or differences) between the two sets of statements.
- Fiduciary fund This accounts for activity in the tax collection fund.

Financial Analysis of the Township as a Whole

Changes in Net assets

The Township's combined net assets are \$2,396,392. In comparison, last year's net assets were \$2,309,586, an increase of \$86,806.

Governmental Activities

Total revenues increased \$55,790 as compared to 2005.

Total expenditures decreased \$135,490 as compared to 2005, mainly due to decreased expenditures in the Road and Fire Governmental Funds.

Financial Analysis of the Township's Funds

As the Township completed the year, its governmental funds reported a combined fund balance of \$1,020,164, a decrease of \$136,796 over last year.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

Differences between the original expenditure budget and the final amended budget consisted of a \$26,720 decrease in various line items. Revenues were less than expected in charges for services. Interest and other revenue was higher than anticipated.

Capital Assets

At the end of June 30, 2006 the Township had invested \$1,705,051 in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation. This amount complies with methods for the reporting of capital assets established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

The State's economy has not improved for the past three years therefore we can anticipate State Shared Revenues to remain flat or decrease by 3-5 %. The Township's general fund tax levy of less then one mill has not increased in over thirty years. With the increase cost in roads and fire department expenses we should consider increasing our millage in the near future. Also Headlee Overides should be considered to restore our millage to the original rates as approved by the Township Voters.

Contacting the Township's Financial Administration

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, and customers with a general overview of the Township's finances and to demonstrate the Township's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information please contact us at the township hall in Petersburg, Michigan.

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2006

			Governmental Activities
C 4.4	Assets		
Current Asse	Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments Due from Other Funds Due from Other Governmental Units Total Current Assets	\$	952,724 129,311 33,181 1,115,216
Non-Current	Assets		
, san Gayleni	Capital Assets Land, Buildings and Equipment Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Capital Assets		2,252,895 (547,844) 1,705,051
	Total Non-Current Assets		1,705,051
Total Assets			2,820,267
	Liabilities		
Current Liabi			
	Accounts Payable		95,052
	Accrued Interest		9,191
	Current Portion of Long-Term Obligations Total Current Liabilities		45,766 150,009
Non-Current	Liabilities		
	Non-Current Portion of Long-Term Obligations		273,866
	Total Non-Current Liabilities	•	273,866
	Total Liabilities		423,875
Not Appet	Net Assets		
Net Assets	Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt Unrestricted	-	1,544,228 852,164
	Total Net Assets	\$	2,396,392

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

			Program Revenues					Net (Expense)
	_	Expenses	(Charges for Services	_	Operating Grants and Contributions	_	Revenue and Changes in Net Assets
Functions/Programs Governmental Activities Legislative General government Public Safety Public Works Recreation and culture Pension expense Debt service Other Unallocated Depreciation Total Governmental Activities	\$	5,406 200,085 169,855 224,945 13,725 5,092 17,700 28,209 58,107	\$ 	85,633 24,139 109,772	\$ - \$ =		\$	(5,406) (114,452) (145,716) (224,945) (13,725) (5,092) (17,700) (28,209) (58,107) (613,352)
	Pro Sta	ral Purpose Rev operty Taxes ate Grants erest ner	enues				_	409,848 230,055 21,089 39,166
			Total G	eneral Rever	nue		_	700,158
	Cł	nange in Net As:	sets					86,806
	Ne	t Assets - July 1					_	2,309,586
	Ne	t Assets - June	30				\$ =	2,396,392

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2006

Assets	_	General Fund	Road Fund	Fire Equipment Fund	Other Nonmajor Funds	_	Total Governmental Funds
Cash and cash equivalents Investments	\$	196,278 \$ 215,526	116,607 \$	379,366	\$ 44,947	\$	737,198 215,526
Due from other funds Due from other governmental units		171,954 33,181	18,791 	4,876 ———			195,621 33,181
Total Assets	\$_	616,939 \$	135,398	384,242	44,947	\$	1,181,526
Liabilities and Fund Balance Liabilities							
Accounts payable Due to other funds	\$ _	137 \$ 18,791	94,915 \$ 4,876	42,643	\$	\$	95,052 66,310
Total Liabilities		18,928	99,791	42,643	-		161,362
Fund Balance Unreserved							
Undesignated		598,011	35,607	341,599	44,947		1,020,164
Total Fund Balance		598,011	35,607	341,599	44,947	•	1,020,164
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$_	616,939 \$	135,398 \$	384,242	44,947	\$	1,181,526

. SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY RECONCILIATION OF TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCES TO NET ASSETS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES JUNE 30, 2006

Total Governmental Fund Balances		\$	1,020,164
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Assets are different because:			
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported as assets in governmental funds.			
Cost of Net Assets	\$	2,252,895	
Accumulated Depreciation	_	(547,844)	1,705,051
In the Statement of Net Assets, interest has been accrued on contracts payable as of June 30, 2006 ₉			(9,191)
Long-Term Liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported as liabilities in the funds. Long-Term Liabilities at year end consist of:			
Contracts payable	\$	319,632	
Total Long-Term Liabilities			(319,632)
Total Net Assets - Governmental Activities		\$	2,396,392

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Revenues	_	General Fund		Road Fund		Fire Fund		Other Nonmajor Funds		Total Governmental Funds
Taxes Licenses and permits State grants	\$	94,098 24,139	\$	105,247	\$	210,503	\$		\$	409,848 24,139
Charges for services Interest Other	_	230,055 45,780 13,499 30,766		1,253		39,853 5,854 ——	· -	483 8,400		230,055 85,633 21,089 39,166
Total Revenues		438,337		106,500		256,210		8,883		809,930
Expenditures Legislative General government Public Safety Public Works Recreation and culture Pension expense Debt service Other	_	5,406 209,161 38,195 91,951 13,725 5,092 61,418 28,209	_	132,994		347,551	_	13,024		5,406 222,185 385,746 224,945 13,725 5,092 61,418 28,209
Total Expenditures	_	453,157	_	132,994		347,551	_	13,024		946,726
Excess of Revenues over Expenditures		(14,820)		(26,494)		(91,341)		(4,141)		(136,796)
Other Financing Sources/(Uses) Operating transfers	_		_				_			
Total Other Financing Sources/(Uses) _		_		· _		_			
Net Changes in Fund equity		(14,820)		(26,494)		(91,341)		(4,141)		(136,796)
Fund equity - beginning of year	_	612,831	_	62,101	_	432,940	_	49,088		1,156,960
Fund equity - end of year	\$ _	598,011	\$ =	35,607	_	341,599	=	44,947	\$.	1,020,164

. SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Total Net Change in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds		\$	(136,796)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:			
Governmental Funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which depreciation exceeded capital outlay in the current period.			
Capitalized Assets	\$ 237,991		
Depreciation Expense	(58,107)		179,884
Interest on long-term debt in the Statement of Activities includes accrued interest, while the Governmental Funds statement does not.			
The increase in accrued interest at June 30, 2006 was \$826.			(826)
Repayment of note principal is an expenditure in the Governmental Funds, but the repayment reduces Long-Term Liabilities in the Statement of Net Assets. This is the amount of repayments reported as expenditures in the Governmental			
Funds.		_	44,544
Change in Net Assets of Governmental Activities		\$_	86,806

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS FIDUCIARY FUNDS JUNE 30, 2006

	Agency Funds
Assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 129,311
Liabilities:	
Due to other funds	\$129,311_

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE A - DESCRIPTION OF TOWNSHIP OPERATIONS AND FUND TYPES

Summerfield Township is located in the western end of Monroe County and covers an area of 36 square miles. The Township operates under an elected, five-member Board of Trustees which also includes the elected Supervisor, Clerk, Treasurer, and two Trustees, and provides service, including fire protection, road maintenance, and community enrichment and development to its residents.

NOTE B - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of Summerfield Township conform to generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to school Townships. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies:

In June 1999 the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments. Certain of the significant changes in the Statement include the following:

- A Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section to provide an analysis of the Township's overall financial position and results of operations.
- > Financial statements prepared with full accrual accounting for all of the Township's activities.
- A change in the fund financial statements to focus on the major funds.

Reporting Entity

In evaluating how to define the government for financial purposes, management has considered all potential component units. The basic criterion for including a governmental department, agency, institution, commission, public authority or other governmental organization in a governmental unit's general purpose financial report is the exercise of oversight responsibility over such agencies by the governmental unit's elected officials. The manifestations of such oversight responsibility are financial interdependency, selection of governing authority, designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

Basis of Accounting

Basic Financial Statements - Government-wide Statements

The Township's basic financial statements include both government-wide (reporting the Township as a whole) and fund financial statements (reporting the Township's major funds). The government-wide financial statements categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type. All of the Township's activities are classified as governmental activities. Fiduciary funds are not included in the government-wide financial statements.

In the government-wide Statement of Net Assets, the governmental activities column is presented on a consolidated basis and is reported on a full-accrual economic resource basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The Township's net assets are reported in three parts: invested in capital assets net of related debt; restricted net assets; and unrestricted net assets. The Township first utilizes restricted resources to finance qualifying activities.

The government-wide Statement of Activities reports both the gross and net cost of each of the Township's functions. General government revenues (property taxes, certain intergovernmental revenues, fines, permits and charges, etc.) also support the functions. The Statement of Activities reduces gross expenses by related program revenues, operating grants and capital grants. Program revenues must be directly associated with the function. Operating grants include operating-specific and discretionary grants. The net costs by function are normally covered by general revenue (property taxes, state and federal sources, interest income, etc.).

Interfund transactions have been eliminated in the government-wide financial statements.

Basic Financial Statements - Fund Financial Statements

The accounts of the Township are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Government resources are allocated to and accounted for in individual funds based upon the purposes for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The various funds are grouped, in the combined financial statements in this report, into five generic fund types in two broad fund categories as follows:

Governmental Funds

Governmental funds are those funds through which most Township functions typically are financed. The acquisition, use, and balances of the Township's expendable financial resources and the related current liabilities are accounted for through governmental funds.

General Fund- This fund is used to account for all financial resources except those provided for in another fund. Revenues are derived primarily from property taxes, State shared revenues, and other intergovernmental revenues. The General Fund accounts for the general operating expenditures which are not recorded elsewhere.

Special Revenue Funds - These funds are used for specific revenue (other than special assessments, expendable trusts, or major capital projects) derived from State and Federal grants, General Fund appropriations or charges for services which are to be expended for specific purposes as dictated by legal, regulatory or administrative requirements.

Trust and Agency Funds

These funds account for assets held by the Township in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, private organizations, other governmental units and/or other funds. These include an agency fund.

Basis of Accounting/Measurement Focus

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. All governmental fund types and expendable trust funds are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. Operating statements of these funds present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in current assets. Accordingly, they are said to present a summary of sources and uses of "available spendable resources" during a period.

<u>Accrual</u> -Governmental activity in the government-wide financial statements is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

Modified Accrual -The governmental funds financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exception to this general rule is that principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt are recognized when due.

Those revenues susceptible to accrual are property taxes, state aid, interest revenue, grants and charges for services. Other revenue is recorded when received.

The Township reports deferred revenue on its governmental funds balance sheet. Deferred revenues arise when potential revenue does not meet both the "measurable" and "available" criteria for recognition in the current period. Deferred revenues also arise when the Township receives resources before it has a legal claim to them, as when grant monies are received prior to the incurrence of qualifying expenditures. In subsequent periods, when both revenue recognition criteria are met, or when the

government has a legal claim to the resources, the liability for deferred revenue is removed from the combined balance sheet and revenue is recognized.

<u>Property, Plant and Equipment</u> - Capital expenditures within the operating funds are charged against operations during the year of purchase.

Other Financing Sources (Uses) - The transfers of cash between the various Township funds are budgeted but reported separately from revenues and expenditures as operating transfers in or (out).

<u>Budgets</u> - Public Act 621 of 1978, as amended, provides that a local unit shall not incur expenditures in excess of the amount budgeted. In the body of the financial statements, the Township's actual and budgeted expenditures for the period have been shown as adopted by function on a modified accrual basis. Budget vs. actual results were monitored through the year and the budgets were amended prior to fiscal year end.

In the general fund, actual expenditures exceeded final amended budgeted expenditures by \$16,059. This is not in accordance with state budget statutes, and occurred largely due to tax tribunal payments that were not budgeted for.

<u>Cash & Cash Equivalents</u> — Cash equivalents are short-term investments that are readily convertible into cash or have a maturity date of 90 days or less from the date of purchase. Investments represent certificates of deposit with a maturity of over 90 days and are recorded at cost, which approximates market.

<u>Use of Estimates</u> – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE C - GENERAL LONG-TERM DEBT

The following is a summary of the Township's debt transactions for the year ended June 30, 2006.

	Contracts <u>Payable</u>	<u>Total</u>
Payable at June 30, 2005 Debt Redeemed: Monroe County	\$ 364,176	\$ 364,176
Road Commission FMIO, Inc. Property	(24,000) (20,544)	(24,000) (20,544)
Payable at June 30, 2006	\$ <u>319,632</u>	\$ <u>319,632</u>

The FMIO, Inc. Property contract has a 15 year maturity date with interest at 5.95%. Interest on the Monroe County Road Commission debt varies between 3.00% and 4.00%, with annual principal and interest payments through 2013. Total annual requirements to amortize long-term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2006 including interest payments of \$55,971 are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	
2007	\$ 61,418
2008	60,668
2009	59,873
2010	59,033
2011 and thereafter	134,611

NOTE D - RECOGNITION OF TAX REVENUE

The Township property tax is levied each December 1, on the state equalized valuation of property located in the Township as of the preceding December 31. The Township's 2005 ad valorem tax is levied and collectible on December 1, 2005, and according to generally accepted accounting principles, revenues from the current tax levy are recognized in the current year when the proceeds of this levy are budgeted and made available for the financing of Township operations.

The 2005 taxable valuation of Summerfield Township amounted to \$106,160,958, on which ad valorem taxes of ..8801 mill were levied for Township operating purposes and 2.9589 mills were levied for special revenue purposes.

NOTE E - INVESTMENTS

Interest Rate Risk

Is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Investments held for longer periods are subject to increased risk of adverse interest rate changes. The Township's policy provides that to the extent practicable, investments are matched with anticipated cash flows. Investments are diversified to minimize the risk of loss resulting from over-concentration of assets in a specific maturity period, a single issuer, or an individual class of securities and are invested primarily in bank certificates of deposit.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of the Township's investment in a single issuer. Cumulatively, portfolios of the Township may not be invested in any given financial institution in excess of 5% of such institution's total assets. Additionally, no more than 5% of the total Township portfolio may be placed with any single financial institution with the exception of repurchase agreements. U.S. government securities and 2a7-like investment pools are excluded from these restrictions.

Credit Risk

Is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. State law limits this exposure by mandating that the Township's investments in commercial paper and corporate bonds be limited to those with a prime rating or better issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations (NRSROs). The Township has no such investments in such issues.

Custodial Credit Risk for Deposits

Is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Townships's deposits may not be returned or the Township will not be able to recover collateral securities, if any, in the possession of an outside party. At June 30, 2006, the Township had \$902,256 of its deposit balances uninsured and uncollateralized.

Custodial Credit Risk for Investments

Is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the Township will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The Township is not subject to this risk, as it has no such investments

Foreign Currency Risk

Is the risk that changes in exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Township is not authorized to invest in investments that would be subject to this type of risk.

NOTE F - PENSION PLAN

The Township maintains a defined contribution pension plan for its officers and employees. Substantially all employee groups are covered, and 100% vesting is immediate. Contributions are based on a percentage of salary earned. The Township's current year covered payroll and its total current year payroll for all employees amounted to \$157,971.

Contributions for the year ending June 30, 2006 totaled \$5,092.

NOTE G - INTERFUND PAYABLES/RECEIVABLES

As of June 30, 2006, The Township's interfund payables/receivables were as follows:

<u>Fund</u> General Fund	\$	Receivable 171,954	\$ <u>Payable</u> 18,791
Agency Fund Special Revenue Fund	_	23,667	129,311 47,519
Total	\$	<u>195,621</u>	\$ <u>195,621</u>

NOTE H - RISK MANAGEMENT

The Township is exposed to various risks of loss in conducting its operations, from property and casualty theft and damage to various tort and liability claims. The Township purchases

commercial insurance coverage to cover potential claims, and management believes this coverage is sufficient to protect it from any significant adverse financial impact.

NOTE I – BUILDING FUND

Michigan Department of Treasury Local Audit Letter 2000-6 states that local units can retain compliance with P.A. 245 of 1999, Statewide Construction Code Act, by accounting for building department revenues in the General Fund only as long as the local unit's fee structure is not intended to recover the full cost of the enforcing agency and the local unit has the ability to track the full costs and revenues of this activity with creating a separate fund. Summerfield Township complies with these provisions, and therefore, the building department activity is recorded in the General Fund.

Building department revenues and expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2006, are as follows:

Revenues	\$22,986
Expenditures	\$20,033

The expenditures listed above include only direct costs for the building department; an allocation for occupancy, insurance and other indirect costs is not included. It is management's opinion that, were the indirect costs included, building department revenues would not recover the full cost of the activity.

NOTE J – CHANGES IN CAPITAL ASSETS

Summary of capital asset transactions:

	Balance July 1, 2005	Additions	Balance <u>June 30, 2006</u>
Land, Buildings & Equipment	\$2,014,904	\$237,991	\$2,252,895
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(<u>489,737</u>)	(<u>58,107</u>)	(<u>547,844</u>)
Net Value	\$ <u>1,525,167</u>	\$ <u>179,884</u>	\$ <u>1,705,051</u>

Additions consisted of the final delivery of a fire truck, as well as improvements to the cemetery.

Depreciation expense for the year in the amount of \$58,107 was recorded on the statement of activities as unallocated depreciation.

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

-	Bu	dget_				Variance with Final Budget Positive
	Original		Final		Actual	(Negative)
Revenues						
Taxes	93,340	\$	93,423	\$	94,098	\$ 675
Licenses & permits	25,000		24,000		24,139	139
State grants	205,000		229,000		230,055	1,055
Charges for services	95,000		87,000		45,780	(41,220)
Interest	12,000		12,000		13,499	1,499
Other	14,000		20,000		30,766	10,766
Total Revenues	444,340		465,423		438,337	(27,086)
Expenditures						
Legislative						
Township board	8,500		8,500		5,406	3,094
General government						
Supervisor	18,000		21,500		21,665	(165)
Trea s urer	20,000		16,500		17,739	(1,239)
Clerk	24,000		22,500		22,865	(365)
Assessing	26,000		26,000		26,645	(645)
Legal and audit	17,800		15,800		15,492	`308 [´]
Building and grounds	45,000		48,000		50,841	(2,841)
Cemetary	60,000		40,000		53,832	(13,832)
Elections	500		100		82	18
Public safety						
Building inspector	20,000		21,000		20,033	967
Planning commission	25,000		17,000		15,682	1,318
Board of appeals	2,000		2,500		2,480	20
Public works					_ ,	~~
Roads and drains	104,000		104,000		91,95 1	12,049
Recreation and culture					,	,5 .6
Library	8,250		8,250		9,210	(960)
Recreation	5,050		4,500		4,515	(15)
Pension expense	8,300		12,000		5,092	6,908
Debt service	61,418		61,448		61,418	30
Other	10,000		7,500		28,209	(20,709)
Total Expenditures	463,818		437,098		453,157	(16,059)
Other Financing Sources/(Uses)						
Operating transfers						
Total Other Financing Sources/(Uses)		_				
Excess of Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures						
and Other Financing Sources/(Uses)	(19,478)		28,325		(14,820)	(43,145)
Fund equity - beginning of year	612,831	_	612,831	_	612,831	
Fund equity - end of year	593,353	\$	641,156	\$	598,011	\$ (43,145)

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - ROAD FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

	Budget			et				Variance with Final Budget	
Revenues	_	Original		Final	_	Actual		Positive (Negative)	
Taxes Licenses & permits State grants	\$	104,707	\$	104,698	\$	105,247	\$	549	
Charges for services Interest Other		1,900		1,900	_	1,253		(647)	
Total Revenues		106,607		106,598		106,500		(98)	
Expenditures Public works Roads and drains		100,000		148,000		131,879		16,121	
Refund of prior year revenues	_			2,000	-	1,115	-	885	
Total Expenditures		100,000		150,000		132,994		17,006	
Other Financing Sources/(Uses) Operating transfers					-		_	<u> </u>	
Total Other Financing Sources/(Use	s)			<u> </u>	_		_		
Excess of Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures and Other Financing Sources/(Uses)	3	6,607		(43,402)		(26,494)		16,908	
Fund equity - beginning of year	_	62,101		62,101	_	62,101		<u>-</u>	
Fund equity - end of year	\$	68,708	\$	18,699	\$ _	35,607	\$_	16,908	

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHDULE - FIRE EQUIPMENT FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

	Budget						Variance with Final Budget	
	_	Original	_	Final		Actual		Positive (Negative)
Revenues Taxes Licenses & permits State grants	\$	209,413	\$	209,404	\$	210,503	\$	1,099
Charges for services Interest Other		2,000	-	5,000		39,853 5,854 		39,853 854 -
Total Revenues		211,413		214,404		256,210		41,806
Expenditures Public safety								
Fire department equipment		225,000		225,000		217,098		7,902
Fire department operations		132,000		162,000		128,223		33,777
Refund of prior year revenues	_	3,000	-	3,000	_	2,230	-	770
Total Expenditures		360,000		390,000		347,551		42,449
Other Financing Sources/(Uses) Operating transfers	_		_		_		_	<u></u>
Total Other Financing Sources/(Use	s) _	-	_	-			_	
Excess of Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures and Other Financing Sources/(Uses)	5	(148,587)		(175,596)		(91,341)		84,255
Fund equity - beginning of year	_	432,940	_	432,940	_	432,940	_	
Fund equity - end of year	\$	284,353	\$ =	257,344	\$	341,599	\$ _	84,255

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP NONMAJOR FUND BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2006

Assets	Cemetary Improvement Fund	_	Total (Memorandum Only) June 30, 2006
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,947	\$	44,947
Total Assets	\$ 44,947	\$	44,947
Liabilities & Fund Equity Fund Equity: Unreserved	\$ 44,947	\$	44,947
Total Liabilities & Fund Equity	\$ 44,947	\$	44,947

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP NONMAJOR FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

		Cemetary Improvement Fund	Total (Memorandum Only) June 30, 2006
Revenues:	_	_	
Lot sales	\$	8,400 \$	8,400
Interest		483	483
Total Revenues		8,883	8,883
Expenditures	,	13,024	13,024
Excess of revenues over/			
under expenditures		(4,141)	(4,141)
Fund equity - beginning of year	,	49,088	49,088
Fund equity - end of year	\$	44,947 \$	44,947

SUMMERFIELD TOWNSHIP, MONROE COUNTY OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION AGENCY FUNDS STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN ASSETS AND LIABILITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

	Balance July 1, 2005		Additions		Deductions		Balance June 30, 2006
	<u>uary 1, 2000</u>	•	7 taditions	•	Deddellons	-	June 30, 2000
Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 108,132	\$	2,623,736	\$	2,602,557	\$	129,311
Liabilities							
Due to:							
Other funds	\$ 108,132	\$	427,153	\$	405,974	\$	129,311
Other governmental units			2,196,583		2,196,583		-
	\$ 108,132	\$	2,623,736	\$	2,602,557	\$	129,311



Certified Public Accountants

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

August 11, 2006

To the Township Board Summerfield Township

We have audited the financial statements of Summerfield Township as of and for the year ended June 30, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated August 11, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Summerfield Township's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Summerfield Township's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended for the information of the members of the board of Summerfield Township.

TAYLOR & MORGAN, P.C.
Certified Public Accountants

Summerfield Township Report of Comments and Recommendations June 30, 2006

Although our examination disclosed no material weaknesses in the internal control structure of Summerfield Township, the following are areas where further review and/or improvements may be made.

Prior Year Comment -- Disbursements

We recommended last year that the Township discontinue the practice of writing checks from the Road and Fire Funds, and instead, when disbursements were required from those accounts, first transfer the money into the General Fund checking account and issue the disbursement from there. Implementing this practice would subject these disbursements to the controls present in the General Fund, including board approval and a two-signature requirement.

This recommendation was implemented in 2005-06.